

Appl. No. # 10/659,784  
Reply to OA of 3/17/2005

### Remarks/Arguments

#### DRAWINGS OBJECTIONS

The Claim 13 terminals are the blocks of Fig 10, 11. The word 'terminal' is a poor choice and 'blocks' are better. The specification uses the word 'blocks'. Therefore, the claim has been amended to refer to concrete blocks 27, 28 that are described in the specification rather than concrete 'terminals'.

#### 102 and 103

Dominguez 4,620,771 has beams attached to walls surrounding the roof. However, Dominguez and all of the other art cited teach away from attaching the beams to vertical surfaces of the walls or roof. Instead, the related art references all teach attachment to the horizontal surface of the roof. The claims have been amended to clarify that the applicant's invention attaches beams to vertical wall surfaces or vertical roof surfaces rather than the horizontal wall surface of the roof.

#### 112

Antecedent basis has been fixed.

Regarding the 112 ambiguity relating to the term " the beams" the applicant clarifies that the beams are not the cross beams, but rather the two or more beams. The claim is directed toward the specification that would not provide for cross beams directly attached to the roof. The specification only provides for the two or more beams attached to the roof.

#### INTERVIEW REQUEST

The applicant requests an interview with the Examiner. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Clement Cheng, Esq.

Law Offices of Clement Cheng  
17220 Newhope St Ste 127  
Fountain Valley, CA 92708-4283  
(714) 825-0555 phone; (714) 825-0558 fax

BEST AVAILABLE COPY